

BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK			Impact on Delivery of Strategic Priority					Linked Corporate Risks		
Principal Risk	Executive		Quality	Timely Care	People	Innovation	Resources	Commitment to Community	No. of Risks	Trend
1. QUALITY	Group Chief Nursing and Improvement Officer & Group Chief Medical and Clinical Innovation Officer		HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	MODERATE	MODERATE	29	↑3
2. EQUIPMENT	Group Chief Nursing and Improvement Officer & Group Chief Medical and Clinical Innovation Officer		HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	MODERATE	HIGH	MODERATE	11	↔
3. WORKFORCE	Group Chief People & Culture Officer		MODERATE	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	LOW	9	↔
4. PERFORMANCE	Hospital Managing Directors		HIGH	HIGH	MODERATE	HIGH	HIGH	MODERATE	15	↔
5. NO CRITERIA to RESIDE	Hospital Managing Directors		HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	MODERATE	HIGH	MODERATE	5	↔
6. DIGITAL	Group Chief Digital Information Officer		MODERATE	HIGH	MODERATE	MODERATE	MODERATE	HIGH	12	↓1
7. FINANCE	Group Chief Finance and Estates Officer		HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	MODERATE	HIGH	MODERATE	9	↔
8. ESTATE	Group Chief Finance and Estates Officer		HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	MODERATE	12	↔
9. COMPLIANCE	Group Chief Medical and Clinical Innovation Officer		MODERATE	HIGH	HIGH	MODERATE	MODERATE	MODERATE	5	↓2

Impact Ratings Key

The tables above and below summarise how each principal risk may impact delivery of the Group’s strategic priorities, based on judgement and known interdependencies. Ratings are assigned using the RAG rating below:

HIGH	A significant threat to delivery of the strategic priority, requiring active mitigation to avoid adverse consequences
MODERATE	A notable but manageable constraint, requiring localised or thematic action.
LOW	A minimal threat to delivery, requiring routine monitoring only.

Strategic Priority	Impact of Risks on Strategic Priorities	Sources of Assurance
Quality	Risks linked to workforce, estates, equipment, digital systems, and performance can compromise patient safety, delay care, and affect patient experience.	Clinical audits, patient safety reporting, safe staffing reports, patient surveys, CQC inspections, internal audit.
Timely Care	Risks relating to workforce availability, theatre and bed capacity, flow constraints, and industrial action affect the ability to provide treatment within required timeframes.	IQPR, operational performance reports, UEC Board/IDS hubs, NHSE oversight.
People	Risks such as staff shortages, industrial action, wellbeing, fatigue, and employment legislation changes impact recruitment, retention, and staff experience.	Staff survey, People Committee deep-dives, WRES/WDES, Guardian of Safe Working, CQC feedback, HEI visits.
Innovation	Risks linked to digital infrastructure, cyber security, estates limitations, and workforce capability may slow adoption of new technologies, research, and service models.	Digital Hospital Programme Board, DSPT, cyber testing, HIMSS maturity assessments, internal audit.
Resources	Risks relating to capital constraints, estates compliance, equipment replacement, and financial pressures affect financial sustainability and investment capacity.	Finance & Estates Committee, ICS DoF group, CIP monitoring, external audit, Model Hospital.
Commitment to Community	Risks associated with inequalities, service capacity, performance pressures, and regulatory compliance may affect population health outcomes and partnership working.	Health Inequalities Programme, ICS frameworks, community partnership reporting, IQPR (inequalities).

Independent – 3rd Line of Defence

Principal Risk 2. EQUIPMENT				Trend		Impact on Delivery of Strategic Priority													
Executive Leads		Chief Nursing and Improvement Officer & Chief Medical and Clinical Innovation Officer		↔ Unchanged		Quality		Timely Care		People		Innovation		Resources		Commitment to Community			
Board Committee		Quality & Outcomes Committee				HIGH		HIGH		MODERATE		LOW		MODERATE		MODERATE			
Principal Risk Description						Existing Controls						Sources of Assurance							
There is a risk that medical equipment is not adequately procured, maintained, replaced, or disposed of in accordance with clinical, operational, regulatory, and environmental requirements. Failures in procurement planning, lifecycle management, and disposal processes may result in unsafe, inefficient, or environmentally unsustainable equipment usage. This includes acquiring unsuitable or non-compliant equipment, failing to replace ageing assets in a timely manner, and disposing of medical devices without meeting legal or sustainability standards. These issues can result in patient harm, service disruption, financial inefficiencies, regulatory breaches, and environmental impact.						- Clinical Engineering manages maintenance and compliance (BOTH) - Oversight via the Medical Devices Committee (BOTH) - Systems for incident reporting and investigation (BOTH) - Audits of high-risk equipment (BOTH) - Front-line teams informally adjust workloads and reallocate or share equipment across services (BOTH) - Business continuity plans in place in event of equipment failure (NBT) 10-year capital programme developed (NBT) Ongoing regular monitoring within Capital Planning Group (NBT)						Internal - Incident reporting and investigations reported to QOC (BOTH) - Internal audit reports (BOTH) External - CQC inspection findings, especially where equipment safety or clinical environment has been flagged (BOTH)						Compliance – 2 nd Line of Defence	
Causal & Contributory Factors						Gaps in Controls or Assurance						Planned Mitigation							
- Aging estate and clinical infrastructure (BOTH) - Historic decisions to defer replacement cycles (BOTH) - Inadequate capital allocation relative to the value of equipment (BOTH) - Variation in oversight between capital and revenue funded (BOTH) - Fragmented and inconsistent procurement processes (BOTH)						- No formal replacement programme for revenue-funded equipment (UHBW) - Multiple uncontrolled entry points for equipment (BOTH) - Lack of real-time, centralised inventory management (BOTH) - Reliance on maintenance contracts for obsolete devices (BOTH) - Weak unsustainable assurance around operational workarounds (BOTH) - Limited triangulation of incident, audit, and inventory data (BOTH) - Low visibility of maintenance contract performance (BOTH)						UHBW - Patient First Corporate Project - Equipment Procurement & Management. NBT - Clear programme of equipment which has been captured within the 10-year Capital plan - Local business continuity plans in place in the event of equipment failure							
UHBW Corporate Risks						NBT Trust Level Risks						Changes to Risks							
6907	Siemens plain film room at SBCH could fail beyond repair				↔	20	1681	Aging Imaging equipment reaching end of life may fail				↔	12	UHBW 7449 has been refocused to cover weaknesses in the procurement process. 8566 has been added to assess the adequacy of equipment maintenance. 8568 has been added to assess the risks arising from the inability to locate or confirm the status of equipment due to lack of tracking functionality. - In addition to the Corporate risks listed 30 high-scoring departmental equipment risks exist relating to imaging and theatres/critical care, with several end-of-life, single-point-of-failure assets scoring 15–25. Many are on best-endeavours maintenance with parts scarcity, and current workarounds (e.g., mobile imaging, inter-site diversion) carry quality and flow risks. Priority exposures include WGH plain-film capacity (Rm2 failure risk and constrained throughput), BRHC acute paediatric X-ray and 3T MRI, BRI interventional radiology Room 11 (end-of-support), ICU humidification, and single devices essential to care (e.g., LUCAS in Weston ED, FEES scope in BCH, vascular ultrasound at Weston). Capital bids are progressing but face CDEL constraints. Immediate focus is to: (1) accelerate already-funded replacements and enabling works, (2) approve targeted emergency capital for single-device safety risks, (3) formalise contingencies (within-hours SLAs, decant/loan/lease plans), and (4) advance a joined-up replacement plan (including MES feasibility) with clear assurance through Capital Planning and the corporate equipment risk. NBT - No changes					
7073	Failure of aging radiology equipment				↔	20	2041	Aging nurse call system in Women and Children's sector				↔	12						
7477	Failure of Hybrid/Theatre 10 equipment				↔	20													
7720	Failure of radiotherapy treatment machine Linac H				↔	20													
7722	Failure of aging CT Sim equipment fails				↔	16													
8067	Inadequate size of decant bunker in Radiology				↔	16													
7449	Equipment is not effectively procured				↓	12													
8568	Equipment cannot be reliably tracked or located				↑	12													
8568	Equipment is not adequately maintained or replaced				↑	12													

External = 3rd line of Defence

Indenendant – 3rd Line of Defence

Compliance – 2nd Line of Defence

Independent-3rd Line of Defence

Independent – 3rd Line of Defence

Principal Risk 8. ESTATE				Trend	Impact on Delivery of Strategic Priority												
Executive Leads		Group Chief Finance and Estates Officer		↔	Quality		Timely Care		People		Innovation		Resources		Commitment to Community		
Board Committee		Finance & Estates Committee			High		High		High		High		High		Moderate		
Principal Risk Description					Existing Controls					Sources of Assurance							
The hospital group faces a significant risk due to aging estate infrastructure, with UHBW’s older buildings requiring modernisation and NBT’s retained estate nearing the need for major refurbishment. Limited decant space, competing priorities, and restrictions on capital expenditure, including CDEL limits, may delay essential upgrades and maintenance, increasing the likelihood of unplanned service failures, equipment malfunctions, and regulatory non-compliance. If buildings become unsafe or unusable, clinical services may be disrupted or forced to close, impacting patient care, operational performance, and staff morale. This could compromise patient safety, disrupt clinical services, and negatively impact staff morale and patient experience.					• Fire Safety and Remediation Plans (UHBW) • Capital Planning and Investment (BOTH) • Estate Management and Maintenance (BOTH) • Health, Safety, and Compliance Functions (BOTH) • Risk Management and Contingency Planning Functions (BOTH) • Technology and Innovation (BOTH) • Sustainability and Environmental Initiatives (BOTH) • Collaboration and Strategic Partnerships (BOTH)					Internal • Strategic Estates Plan (UHBW) • Capital Planning Reports (BOTH) • Premises Assurance Model (PAM) Reports (UHBW / being developed at NBT) • Estates Returns Information Collection (ERIC) Benchmarking reports (BOTH) • Health & Safety and Compliance Reports (BOTH) • Performance Reviews (BOTH) • Control of Infection Committee (NBT) • Finance and Estates Committee in common (BOTH) External • Internal Audit Reports (BOTH) • Regulatory Inspections and Third-Party Assessments (BOTH) • Quality Assurance Programs (BOTH) • Certification Programs (BOTH) • Submission of ERIC returns (BOTH)							Independent – 3 rd Line of Defence
Causal & Contributory Factors					Gaps in Controls or Assurance					Planned Mitigation							
• Aging Infrastructure (BOTH) • Deferred Maintenance (BOTH) • Technological Obsolescence (BOTH) • Inadequate Funding (BOTH) • Lack of Strategic Planning (BOTH) • Regulatory Compliance Issues (BOTH) • Environmental Factors (BOTH) • Capital Expenditure Restriction (BOTH) • Staffing Shortages (BOTH) • Availibility of decant facilities (BOTH)					• Technology integration (BOTH) • Lack of full Condition Survey (BOTH) • Lack of comprehensice Asset Registers (BOTH) • Incomplete Planned Prevantative Maintance (PPM) Programme (UHBW) • Data and information management (BOTH) • Resource allocation (BOTH) • Availability of decant space (BOTH) • Workforce skills and training (BOTH) • Workforce capacity (BOTH)					UHBW • Joint Estates Strategy to develop interim plan • Heygroves Theatres refurbishment • Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) Fire Safety • Bristol Eye Hospital (BEH) Theatres NBT • Estates and W&C teams are assessing unresolved risks beyond available CDEL, identifying mitigation measures, and outlining business continuity plans for high-risk services • The Bristol Surgical Centre <i>could</i> provide support in the event of catastrophic failure within other theatres							
UHBW Corporate Risks					NBT Trust Level Risks					Changes to Risks							
7130	The Trust is unable to fund the strategic estate programme		↔	16	1587	Pathology Chiller Failure		↔	15	UHBW • 3472 - That the Trust fails to deliver the ICS Green Plan, has reduced from 16 to 12 following strengthened governance, confirmation of ICS funding, and progress on key decarbonisation projects (e.g. fleet electrification, waste contracts, boiler replacement, and Salix-funded initiatives). These measures reduce the likelihood of non-compliance. NBT • 1587 – Enabling works due to commence end of Oct 25. The terms of the contract have been agreed and awaiting sign off with the aim to replace the chillers before the end of March 26. • 1716 – Additional operational space identified for pharmacy. Risk downgraded from TLR to a high level risk (8) and being managed locally within Core Clinical Services. • 2059 – New risk re: trip hazard due to exposed cables in patient inpatient rooms.							
7131	That the strategic estate programme is not delivered		↔	16	1946	Condition of WACH Estate		↔	12								
5325	BHOC services are compromised due to estate condition		↔	16	2059	Trip hazard due to exposed cables in patient bedrooms		↑	12								
8237	Building Safety Act delays capital investment projects		↔	16													
6112	Estates backlog maintenance may not be adequately funded		↔	15													
3472	That the Trust fails to deliver the ICS Green Plan		↓	12													
5540	The Trust infrastructure is inadequate for extreme weather		↔	12													
5645	The Trust fails to achieve its stated Clean Air Hospital Framework 2025		↔	12													

Principal Risk 9. COMPLIANCE				Trend		Impact on Delivery of Strategic Priority													
Executive Leads		Chief Medical & Clinical Innovation Officer		↓ Decreased		Quality		Timely Care		People		Innovation		Resources		Commitment to Community			
Board Committee		Audit Committee				MODERATE		HIGH		HIGH		MODERATE		MODERATE		MODERATE			
Principal Risk Description						Existing Controls						Sources of Assurance							
Failure to comply with regulatory requirements, statutory duties, and NHS governance standards may lead to enforcement actions, financial penalties, reputational damage, and reduced public and stakeholder confidence. The complexity of operating as a Group introduces challenges in maintaining consistent compliance across both Trusts, particularly in areas such as data protection, health and safety, safeguarding, financial governance, and clinical regulations. Variability in local implementation of policies, differing regulatory interpretations, and resource constraints may contribute to non-compliance. Failure to meet Care Quality Commission (CQC), NHS England, and other regulatory requirements could result in enforcement actions, special measures, or increased scrutiny, impacting operational effectiveness and strategic priorities. Inadequate compliance mechanisms may also lead to legal liabilities, workforce implications, and compromised patient safety. Ensuring robust oversight, aligned governance frameworks, and clear accountability across the Group is critical to mitigating this risk.						- Regular monitoring of compliance with accountability at Board level (BOTH) - Specialist teams oversee key statutory areas and report compliance with related standards into operational groups (BOTH) - Clear accountability for compliance across operational, clinical, and corporate functions (BOTH) - Policies and procedures covering regulated activities (BOTH) - Regular staff training on key compliance areas, including GDPR, safeguarding, health and safety, and safeguarding and targeted training for high-risk roles (e.g., clinicians, data handlers) (BOTH) - Processes for reporting and escalating compliance breaches (BOTH) - Confidential freedom to speak up channels for staff to report compliance concerns without fear of retaliation (BOTH)						Internal - Health & Safety Reports (BOTH) - CQC Action plans in response to inspections (BOTH) - Premesis Assurance Model reports (BOTH) - Safeguarding Reports (BOTH) - IQPR containg compliance with NHS England Oversight Framework Report (BOTH) - DSP Toolkit (BOTH) - Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Compliance (BOTH) - NICE Compliance (BOTH) - Environmental & Sustainability Compliance Report (BOTH) External - Internal audit reports (BOTH) - Reports from CQC, MHRA and other regulatory bodies (BOTH)						Independent – 3 rd Line of Defence	
Causal & Contributory Factors						Gaps in Controls or Assurances						Planned Mitigation							
- Frequent updates to NHS, CQC, and statutory requirements (BOTH) - Limited specialist compliance staff and training (BOTH) - Variability in applying policies across sites (BOTH) - Competing priorities deprioritising compliance activities (BOTH) - Third-party providers failing to meet regulatory standards (BOTH) - Delays in updating policies and unclear ownership (UHBW) - Differences in policies and governance create inconsistencies (UHBW) - Lack of integrated digital systems for compliance oversight (UHBW) - Lack of awareness and training on compliance requirements (UHBW)						- Complexity leads to no single, clear reference of obligations (BOTH) - Opportunities to improve training engagement (UHBW) - Inconsistent implementation and monitoring of policies (UHBW)						UHBW - Fire Safety Programme (UHBW) - Objective to improve completion weekly fire evacuation checks (UHBW) - Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) Fire Safety project (UHBW) NBT - Business case approved for mortuary compliance works and funding allocated.							
UHBW Corporate Risks				NBT Trust Level Risks						Changes to Risks									
972	Non-Compliance with Fire Regulatory Reform Order 2005		↔	20	2152	IRR 17 compliance in diagnostic and interventional imaging		↑	16	- UHBW 3826 - Risk assessments by non-competent persons, and 3827 – Incomplete risk assessments for plant rooms have reduced from 12 to 8 following the development of a new risk framework aligned to the Trust’s current risk profile and the commissioning of retrospective fire risk assessments from external fire engineers (OFR). These measures have reduced the likelihood of gaps. These have therefore been de-escalated from the CRR. - UHBW Risks 3830 - Incomplete fire compartmentation (20), and 5564 - WGH fire doors do not meet current certification standards (12), and 6209 - Fire alarm cause & effect is not programmed correctly (12) have been reviewed and closed due to development of new risk framework linked to current knowledge of Trust risks and establishment of retrospective fire risk assessments produced by external fire engineers, OFR. The overall assessment of compliance is covered by existing risk 972. - UHBW 7980 - Compliance with statutory and regulatory safeguarding duties, has reduced from 12 to 8 and de-escalated from the Corporate Risk Register following improvements delivered over the past two years, including strengthened staffing, resourcing, governance and assurance arrangements, and the introduction of a Single Managed Service with senior leadership at NBT. These measures have enhanced controls and reduced the likelihood of non-compliance. - NBT 2152 – New risk re: IRR 17 compliance in diagnostic and interventional imaging due to insufficiency in staff cover to assess and address compliance.									
2695	Risk that the Trust fails to establish and maintain robust governance		↔	12	2016	Mortuary Compliance with Human Tissue Act		↔	15										
6691	That medicines are not stored securely		↔	12															

Independent – 3rd Line of Defence