

Ref: 25-661

## Freedom of Information Request

28 August 2025

By Email

Dear Sir/Madam

Thank you for your request for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The Trust's response is as follows:

- We can confirm that we do hold the information you are requesting
- 1. The number of unique patients with a recorded diagnosis of Primary Immunodeficiency (PID) in Q2'25(April, May, and June 2025): 66
- a. Of these PID patients, what is the number of PID patients treated with Immunoglobulin in Q2'25(April, May, and June 2025) If possible, segment patients by brand used e.g 5 patients on Cuvitru: 53

Cutaquig: - \*
Cuvitru: - 22
Gamunex: - \*
Hizentra: - \*
HyQvia: - 9
Intratect: - \*
Kiovig: - \*
Ocatgam: - \*
Privigen: - \*
Xembify: - 7

Please note: Where the figures are between 1 and 5, this has been denoted by \*. Due to the low numbers, we have considered that there is the potential for individuals to be identified from the information provided, when considered with other information that may also be in the public domain. In our view disclosure of these low figures would breach one of the Data Protection Principles set out in Schedule 1 of the Data Protection Act, namely Principle 1. The Trust therefore finds that the Section 40(2) exemption contained within the Freedom of information Act 2000 is engaged. This follows NHS Digital (formerly HSCIC) analysis guidance (2014) which states that small numbers within local authorities, wards, postcode districts, providers and Trusts may allow identification of patients and should not be published.

## b. How of these Ig treated PID patients are naı̈ve (new starts) in Q2'25(April, May, and June 2025)? - $^{\star}$

Please note: Where the figures are between 1 and 5, this has been denoted by \*. Due to the low numbers, we have considered that there is the potential for individuals to be identified from the information provided, when considered with other information that may also be in the public domain. In our view disclosure of these low figures would breach one of the Data Protection Principles set out in Schedule 1 of the Data Protection Act, namely Principle 1. The Trust therefore finds that the Section 40(2) exemption contained within the Freedom of information Act 2000 is engaged. This follows NHS Digital (formerly HSCIC) analysis guidance (2014) which states that small numbers within local authorities, wards, postcode districts, providers and Trusts may allow identification of patients and should not be published.

- 2. The number of unique patients with a recorded diagnosis of Secondary Immunodeficiency (SID) in Q2'25(April, May, and June 2025): 75
- a. Of these SID patients, what is the number of SID patients treated with Immunoglobulin in Q2'25(April, May, and June 2025? If possible, segment patients by brand used? 50

Cuvitru: - \*
Gamten: - 6
Gamunex: - 13
Intratect: - \*
Kiovig: - \*
Panzyga: - 10
Privigen: - 12
Xembify: - \*

Please note: Where the figures are between 1 and 5, this has been denoted by \*. Due to the low numbers, we have considered that there is the potential for individuals to be identified from the information provided, when considered with other information that may also be in the public domain. In our view disclosure of these low figures would breach one of the Data Protection Principles set out in Schedule 1 of the Data Protection Act, namely Principle 1. The Trust therefore finds that the Section 40(2) exemption contained within the Freedom of information Act 2000 is engaged. This follows NHS Digital (formerly HSCIC) analysis guidance (2014) which states that small numbers within local authorities, wards, postcode districts, providers and Trusts may allow identification of patients and should not be published.

- b. How of these Ig treated SID patients are naïve (new starts) in Q2'25(April, May, and June 2025)? 14
- 3. The number of unique patients with a recorded diagnosis of Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (CIDP) in Q2'25(April, May, and June 2025): \* Please note: Where the figures are between 1 and 5, this has been denoted by \*. Due to the low numbers, we have considered that there is the potential for individuals to be identified from the information provided, when considered with other information that may also be in the public domain. In our view disclosure of these low figures would breach one of the Data

Protection Principles set out in Schedule 1 of the Data Protection Act, namely Principle 1. The Trust therefore finds that the Section 40(2) exemption contained within the Freedom of information Act 2000 is engaged. This follows NHS Digital (formerly HSCIC) analysis guidance (2014) which states that small numbers within local authorities, wards, postcode districts, providers and Trusts may allow identification of patients and should not be published.

- a. Of these SID patients, what is the number of CIDP patients treated with Immunoglobulin in Q2'25(April, May, and June 2025? If possible, segment patients by brand used? 0
- b. How of these Ig treated CIDP patients are naïve (new starts) in Q2'25(April, May, and June 2025)? 0
- 4. The number of immunoglobulin vials/volume in grams distributed to University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust in each month for April, May, and June 2025, broken down by product (see below). I would also like to request the number of patients University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust by brand if available
- Vigam: 0
- Flebogamma DIF: 0
- Gammaplex: 0
- **Gamunex-C**: Apr: 820g ordered in (8 patients treated), May: 705g ordered in (16 patients treated), June: 895g ordered in (15 patients treated)
- Octagam: 0g delivered to UHBW in those months, \* patient treated in April, \* patient treated in June

Please note: Where the figures are between 1 and 5, this has been denoted by \*. Due to the low numbers, we have considered that there is the potential for individuals to be identified from the information provided, when considered with other information that may also be in the public domain. In our view disclosure of these low figures would breach one of the Data Protection Principles set out in Schedule 1 of the Data Protection Act, namely Principle 1. The Trust therefore finds that the Section 40(2) exemption contained within the Freedom of information Act 2000 is engaged. This follows NHS Digital (formerly HSCIC) analysis guidance (2014) which states that small numbers within local authorities, wards, postcode districts, providers and Trusts may allow identification of patients and should not be published.

• **Gamten**: Apr: 170g ordered in (\* patients treated), May: 180g ordered in (6 patients treated), June: 270g ordered in (\* patients treated)

Please note: Where the figures are between 1 and 5, this has been denoted by \*. Due to the low numbers, we have considered that there is the potential for individuals to be identified from the information provided, when considered with other information that may also be in the public domain. In our view disclosure of these low figures would breach one of the Data Protection Principles set out in Schedule 1 of the Data Protection Act, namely Principle 1. The Trust therefore finds that the Section 40(2) exemption contained within the Freedom of information Act 2000 is engaged. This follows NHS Digital (formerly HSCIC) analysis guidance

(2014) which states that small numbers within local authorities, wards, postcode districts, providers and Trusts may allow identification of patients and should not be published.

• **Kiovig**: Apr: 10g ordered in (\* patients treated), May: 23.5g ordered in (\* patients treated), June: 3.5g ordered in (\* patient treated)

Please note: Where the figures are between 1 and 5, this has been denoted by \*. Due to the low numbers, we have considered that there is the potential for individuals to be identified from the information provided, when considered with other information that may also be in the public domain. In our view disclosure of these low figures would breach one of the Data Protection Principles set out in Schedule 1 of the Data Protection Act, namely Principle 1. The Trust therefore finds that the Section 40(2) exemption contained within the Freedom of information Act 2000 is engaged. This follows NHS Digital (formerly HSCIC) analysis guidance (2014) which states that small numbers within local authorities, wards, postcode districts, providers and Trusts may allow identification of patients and should not be published.

• Intratect: Apr: 20g ordered in (\* patients treated), May: 205g ordered in (\* patients treated), June: 30g ordered in (\* patients treated)

Please note: Where the figures are between 1 and 5, this has been denoted by \*. Due to the low numbers, we have considered that there is the potential for individuals to be identified from the information provided, when considered with other information that may also be in the public domain. In our view disclosure of these low figures would breach one of the Data Protection Principles set out in Schedule 1 of the Data Protection Act, namely Principle 1. The Trust therefore finds that the Section 40(2) exemption contained within the Freedom of information Act 2000 is engaged. This follows NHS Digital (formerly HSCIC) analysis guidance (2014) which states that small numbers within local authorities, wards, postcode districts, providers and Trusts may allow identification of patients and should not be published.

- **Privigen**: Apr: 1,225g ordered in (31 patients treated), May: 160g ordered in (10 patients treated), June 260g ordered in (10 patients treated)
- **Iqymune**: 0
- Gammanorm: 0
- Subcuvia: 0
- Subgam: 0
- Hizentra: Apr: 370g ordered in (8 patients treated), 0 ordered or used in May or June
- **Hyqvia**: Apr: 290g ordered in (\* patients treated), May: 80g ordered in (\* patient treated), June: 330g ordered in (\* patients treated)

Please note: Where the figures are between 1 and 5, this has been denoted by \*. Due to the low numbers, we have considered that there is the potential for individuals to be identified from the information provided, when considered with other information that may also be in the public domain. In our view disclosure of these low figures would breach one of the Data Protection Principles set out in Schedule 1 of the Data Protection Act, namely Principle 1. The Trust therefore finds that the Section 40(2) exemption contained within the Freedom of information Act 2000 is engaged. This follows NHS Digital (formerly HSCIC) analysis guidance (2014) which states that small numbers within local authorities, wards, postcode districts,

providers and Trusts may allow identification of patients and should not be published.

- Cuvitru: Apr: 286g ordered in (7 patients treated), May: 644g ordered in (16 patients treated), June: 496g ordered in (11 patients treated)
- Panzyga: Apr: 0 ordered in or treated, May: 380g ordered in (10 patients treated), June: 250g ordered in (9 patients treated)
- Xembify: Apr: 16 ordered in (\* patient treated), May: 163g ordered in (6 patients treated), June: 478g ordered in (8 patients treated)

Please note: Where the figures are between 1 and 5, this has been denoted by \*. Due to the low numbers, we have considered that there is the potential for individuals to be identified from the information provided, when considered with other information that may also be in the public domain. In our view disclosure of these low figures would breach one of the Data Protection Principles set out in Schedule 1 of the Data Protection Act, namely Principle 1. The Trust therefore finds that the Section 40(2) exemption contained within the Freedom of information Act 2000 is engaged. This follows NHS Digital (formerly HSCIC) analysis guidance (2014) which states that small numbers within local authorities, wards, postcode districts, providers and Trusts may allow identification of patients and should not be published.

- Cutaquig: Apr: 108g ordered in (\* patients treated), May: 290g ordered in (\* patients treated), June: 12g ordered in (\* patient treated)
- Vyvgart (Efgartigimod): 0

Please note: Where the figures are between 1 and 5, this has been denoted by \*. Due to the low numbers, we have considered that there is the potential for individuals to be identified from the information provided, when considered with other information that may also be in the public domain. In our view disclosure of these low figures would breach one of the Data Protection Principles set out in Schedule 1 of the Data Protection Act, namely Principle 1. The Trust therefore finds that the Section 40(2) exemption contained within the Freedom of information Act 2000 is engaged. This follows NHS Digital (formerly HSCIC) analysis guidance (2014) which states that small numbers within local authorities, wards, postcode districts, providers and Trusts may allow identification of patients and should not be published.

This concludes our response. We trust that you find this helpful, but please do not hesitate to contact us directly if we can be of any further assistance.

If, after that, you are dissatisfied with the handling of your request, you have the right to ask for an internal review. Internal review requests should be submitted within two months of the date of receipt of the response to your original letter and should be addressed to:

Data Protection Officer
University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust
Trust Headquarters
Marlborough Street
Bristol
BS1 3NU

Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you have the right to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at: Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire, SK9 5AF

## **Publication**

Please note that this letter and the information included/attached will be published on our website as part of the Trust's Freedom of Information Publication Log. This is because information disclosed in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act is disclosed to the public, not just to the individual making the request. We will remove any personal information (such as your name, email and so on) from any information we make public to protect your personal information.

To view the Freedom of Information Act in full please click <a href="here.">here.</a>

Yours sincerely

Freedom of Information Team University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust